



**Queensland University of Technology**  
Brisbane Australia

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

[Campbell, Marilyn](#), Hwang, Yoon-Suk, [Whiteford, Chrystal](#), [Dillon-Wallace, Julie](#), Ashburner, Jill, [Saggers, Beth](#), & [Carrington, Suzanne](#) (2017)

Bullying prevalence in students with autism spectrum disorder.  
*Australasian Journal of Special Education*. (In Press)

This file was downloaded from: <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/110010/>

© The Author(s) 2017

**Notice:** *Changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this document. For a definitive version of this work, please refer to the published source:*

<https://doi.org/10.1017/jse.2017.5>

## Bullying Prevalence in Students with ASD

Marilyn Campbell, Yoon-Suk Hwang, Chrystal Whiteford, Julie Dillon-Wallace, Jill Ashburner, Beth Saggars, Suzanne Carrington

### **Abstract**

All forms of bullying, physical, verbal, social and cyber, are prevalent among youth worldwide. An especially vulnerable population for involvement in bullying is students with an autism spectrum disorder (ASD). While there are some studies that have investigated bullying in these students, many of these are beset by methodological issues. We surveyed 104 students with ASD on their bullying experiences in all four forms of bullying and examined their roles as victim, perpetrator and bully-victim, comparing them with a group of typically developing students matched for age and gender. It was found that students with ASD reported significantly more traditional victimization (physical, verbal and social) than their typically developing peers. Cyberbullying victimization was similar for the two groups. There were no differences between the groups on traditional bullying perpetration; however, typically developing students reported more cyberbullying perpetration behaviors. Implications for prevention and intervention are discussed.

**Keywords:** bullying, cyberbullying, autism spectrum disorder, students

Bullying is a global issue for students (Due et al., 2005; Molcho et al., 2009). Characterised by three fundamental pillars - intention, repetition, and power imbalance (Olweus, 1993) - bullying takes various forms of physical, verbal, and social (sometimes called relational or exclusionary). These forms of bullying are called *traditional*. Another form of bullying is bullying through technology or cyberbullying (Gladden, Vivolo-Kantor, Hamburger, & Lumpkin, 2014). Although prevalence rates have been found to vary depending upon the age of the children and youth sampled, the definitions used, the methodology employed, and the time frame queried (Ybarra, Boyd, Korchmaros, & Oppenheim, 2012), recent Australian studies have reported traditional victimization prevalence rates of between 16.1% and 40% (Campbell, Spears, Slee, Butler, & Kift, 2012; Cross et al., 2009; Hemphill et al., 2011) with bullying perpetration between 11% and 17.1% (Cross et al., 2009; Hemphill, Tollit, & Kotevski 2012).

Cyberbullying victimization appears to be half the prevalence of traditional bullying with 14% of 3119, 10-18-year-old students reporting being cyberbullied and 7.4 % reporting cyberbullying perpetration (Campbell, Spears, Slee, Kift, & Butler, 2011). This is lower than conservative estimates of cyberbullying presented by Spears, Keeley, Bates, and Katz (2014) who suggest 20% of children aged 8-17 years experienced cyberbullying in the previous 12 months.

Students in special populations, such as those with a disability, are a group of young people who have been found to have even higher rates of both bullying victimization and perpetration, compared to those without disabilities (i.e., typically developing students) (Rose, Espelage, & Monda-Amaya, 2009). Students with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) are at even higher risk of involvement in bullying, not only compared with typically developing students but also are at higher risk than other students with special needs (Kloosterman, Kelley, Craig, Parker, & Javier, 2013). With the rise in prevalence of students

diagnosed with ASD (Saracino, Noseworthy, Steiman, Reisinger, & Fomborne, 2010) the increased risk from bullying does not bode well for these students. Additionally, the increased inclusion of students with ASD in mainstream classrooms may place them at greater risk for involvement in bullying (Haq & Le Couteur, 2004; Hebron & Humphrey, 2014; Humphrey & Symes, 2011). Current studies of bullying and students with ASD however, have had extremely small samples and have mainly relied on other's reports and not the reports of the students with ASD themselves. Furthermore, there appears to be limited prevalence studies of cyberbullying victimization and perpetration in this population. This study therefore, examined the prevalence of victimisation and perpetration of both traditional and cyber forms of bullying in a larger sample of students with ASD from their own self-reports.

### **Consequences of bullying**

With the aforementioned high rates of traditional and cyberbullying, consequences of bullying are of concern. We know that there are many negative consequences of traditional bullying victimization such as long-term psychological problems including increased levels of anxiety, depressive symptoms, social isolation and loneliness, poor self-worth, psychosomatic complaints, suicidal ideation, and suicide attempts (Bowes et al., 2013; Klomek, Marrocco, Kleinman, Schonfeld, & Gould, 2007; Polanin, Espelage, & Pigot, 2012; Wang, Nansel, & Iannotti, 2011 ). Perpetrators of traditional bullying have also been found to be at a heightened risk of experiencing problems such as anxiety, depression, psychosomatic symptoms, and eating disorders (Cook-Cottone et al., 2016; Duarte & Pinto-Gouveia, 2017). They may also experience difficulties with school, psychosocial adjustment, externalizing behaviours and delinquency in late adolescence and early adulthood (Perren & Hornung, 2005), substance abuse (Houbre, Tarquinio, Thuillier, & Hergott, 2006), and psychiatric problems (Espelage Van Ryzin, & Holt, 2017). Bully-victims are at the greatest risk for

adversity, experiencing both internalizing and externalizing difficulties (Isolan, Salum, Osowski, Zottis, & Manfro, 2013; Swearer, 2013; Zablotsky, Bradshaw, Anderson, & Law, 2013).

It has been shown that students who were cyber-victimised reported more social difficulties and higher levels of depression and anxiety than those students who were victims of traditional bullying (Campbell, Spears, Slee, Butler, & Kift, 2012). Although these are serious sequelae for students who are victims of cyberbullying, those students who are perpetrators also reported more social difficulties and obtained higher scores on stress, depression, and anxiety scales than those not involved in bullying (Campbell, Slee, Spears, Butler & Kift, 2013). Even though the consequences for students with ASD who are bullied are similar to their typically developing peers, a further difficulty bullying may cause is an increase in their autism symptomatology (Sreckovic, Brunsting, & Able, 2014).

### **Students with ASD and bullying**

ASD as used in the present study, includes autistic disorder, Asperger's disorder, and pervasive developmental disorder - not otherwise specified (PDD-NOS) as diagnostic criteria used at the time of the data collection according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4<sup>th</sup> ed., text rev.; American Psychiatric Association, 2000) Students with a diagnosis of ASD often have unusual mannerisms (Horowitz et al., 2004), may engage in restricted interests (Gazelle & Ladd, 2003), lack a social network or a group of close friends (Bauminger & Kasari, 2000), experience difficulties in communication and specifically the social aspects of communication (Kelley, Fein, & Naigles, 2006). They may also experience challenges in understanding their own and others behaviour (Frith & Hill, 2004), have difficulties with self-regulation of their behaviour and emotions (Howlin, 2004; Matson & Nebel-Schwalm, 2007), as well as co-morbid mental health disorders (Rosenberg, Kaufmann,

Law, & Law, 2011). These difficulties have been identified in the bullying literature as risk factors for both victimisation and perpetration in typically developing students. As Rose and Espelage (2012) have argued, it is not the disability itself that is a risk factor, but the characteristics associated with the disability.

### **Methodological issues in studying bullying and students with ASD**

There are some methodological problems with existing prevalence studies reporting bullying involvement of students with ASD. For example, approximately 80% of research on the prevalence of bullying in typically developing young people uses methods involving self-report (Owens, Skrzypiec, & Wadham, 2011), yet studies of bullying involvement in students with ASD tend to utilise parent reports (Cappadocia, Weiss, & Pepler, 2012; Little, 2002), or parent and teacher reports (Rowley et al., 2012). This reflects the belief that young people with ASD might not be capable of accurately answering questions about bullying as they may fail to understand complex social situations (Loveland, Pearson, Tunali-Kotoski, Ortegón, & Cullen-Gibbs, 2001) and therefore not understand bullying.

Parent and teacher reports, however, can be perceived as unreliable as they have been shown to be unaware of the nature and frequency of their children's involvement in bullying and consequently report it incorrectly (Beaty & Alexeyev, 2008; Stassen Berger, 2007; Rieffe, 2012). This could create unreliable comparisons with typically developing students given that there was only fair-to-moderate agreement between adolescents with ASD and their mothers on whether or not bullying victimisation had occurred, and poor agreement on the types of bullying (Zeedyk, Rodriguez, Tipton, Baker, & Blacher, 2014).

Other studies have tried to triangulate data on bullying prevalence in populations of students with ASD using data from parents, teachers, and the students themselves (Chen & Schwartz, 2012; van Roekel, Scholte, & Didden, 2010). These studies have found different

prevalence rates according to the informant source. For example, in three autism specific special schools, van Roekel et al. (2010) found that teachers estimated student victimisation at 46%. This was significantly higher than peer report of 15% and the student self-report of 19%., and Rowley et al. (2012) found teachers' ratings were lower for student victimisation than parents' reports.

There are also some studies which have specifically asked students with ASD about their involvement in bullying, despite the belief that these students might not understand bullying. Wainscot, Naylor, Sutcliffe, and Williams. (2008) interviewed 57 students with Asperger syndrome in secondary schools and explored conceptions about bullying. However, the students were asked if there was anyone in their class they thought did not like them, which may not be interpreted by students with ASD as bullying behaviour. Whereas in contrast, Twyman et al. (2010) conducted a self-report survey on bullying with 294 students but only 11% of these were diagnosed with an ASD. The results of this study found that with students on the spectrum, bullying victimisation was experienced at the same level as the group of students with ADHD. Similarly, Storch et al. (2012) used a survey administered to 60 students with ASD. In this study, compared to norms of typically developing youth, the students with ASD were one standard deviation above the mean for victimisation. However, all participants in this study also had a diagnosis of an anxiety disorder.

Interestingly, two recent studies have shown that students with ASD were as accurate in identifying bullying behaviour as adolescents from the general population; et al. (2010) using videos, and Bitsika and Sharpley (2014) using open-ended definitions of bullying. These findings have been supported by Hwang et al.'s (2017) finding that students with ASD correctly identified scenarios of traditional and cyberbullying with a better degree of accuracy than typically developing students. This study used the same participants with ASD as the

present study, so therefore we are confident that these students have at least the same understanding of what constitutes bullying as their typically developing peers.

Additionally, most studies have only considered traditional bullying and have not included cyberbullying. This is a concern, as students with ASD are often prolific users of the internet (Kuo, Orsmond, Coster, & Cohn, 2014), and this is a risk factor for cyberbullying involvement (Didden et al., 2009). Studies investigating cyberbullying in the population of students with ASD have also found a discrepancy between parent reports and students' self-reports. Kowalski and Fedina (2011) for example found 15% of parents reported that their child with ADHD and/or ASD had been cyberbullied and 3% said their child had cyberbullied someone else. In contrast, 38% of the students with ADHD and/or ASD reported being cyber victimised, and 5.8% said they had bullied someone else. For cyberbullying involvement, perhaps even more than for traditional bullying, it would seem more accurate to ask young people about their experiences, rather than their parents, teachers, or peers.

Most studies have also not examined the roles of bully, victim, and victim-bully in the population of students with ASD, with many studies examining only victimisation (e.g., Cappadocia et al., 2012), or not separating victim and perpetrator roles (e.g., van Roekel et al., 2010). It is important however, for intervention to distinguish whether students with ASD are either being victimised or are perpetrators of bullying. Moreover, in many studies, there has been no comparison group in the study of typically developing students and students with ASD (e.g., Bitsika & Sharpley, 2014; Cappadocia et al., 2012; Carter, 2009; Little, 2002). Additionally, studies have involved extremely small samples of children with ASD (e.g., 24 students [Kloosterman et al., 2013]; 30 students [Wainscot et al., 2008]; and 48 students [Bitsika & Sharpley, 2014]).



### **Current study**

The purpose of the present study was therefore, to investigate the prevalence of both traditional and cyberbullying in students with ASD as either victims or perpetrators. To address the methodological issues identified from the review of existing studies, we sought self-reports from students with ASD and compared their responses to those of a typically developing matched sample of students to ascertain whether students with ASD were victimised more than typically developing students or were more likely to be perpetrators of bullying than their peers. Traditionally, self-report questionnaires have not been used with students with ASD primarily because of their difficulties with communication skills. As the students with ASD in this sample were found to understand the concept of both traditional and cyberbullying in real life scenarios (Hwang et al., 2017) with the same accuracy as typically developing students, we provided students in both groups with the same questions and compared the types of bullying and roles of bullying in each group. The research question, therefore, is:

What is the prevalence of traditional and cyberbullying in students with ASD as either victims or perpetrators?

### **Method**

#### **Participants**

Participants in this study were 104 children who were previously diagnosed with ASD (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) and 104 typically developing children. The typically developing students were matched with the students with ASD based on age and gender by random selection from of a pool of 2116 typically developing students. The ages of the children ranged from 11 to 16 years ( $M = 12.69$ ,  $SD = 1.42$ ). There were 91 males and 13

females in each group. This reflects the gender imbalance characteristic of ASD, which has been diagnosed 4 to 1 in boys than in girls (Taylor, Jick, & MacLaughlin, 2013).

All children with ASD had received a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder or Pervasive Developmental Disorder. The criteria for inclusion was (a) a diagnosis is provided by a registered paediatrician, psychiatrist, or neurologist specifying the diagnostic criteria provided in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (American Psychiatric Disorders, 2000), (b) activity limitations and participation restrictions for the student at school requiring significant education adjustments. Of the 104 children, 98 (94.2%) were in mainstream settings; sixty children (57.7%) attended state schools, and 26 (25%) and 12 (11.5%) attended Catholic Education schools and independent schools respectively in Queensland an Australian state. The typically developing children were drawn from three different Australian states and attended both government and non-government schools, similar to the students with ASD.

### **Measures**

An anonymous, self-report paper-based survey was conducted. This has been used successfully in previous studies (Campbell & Morgan, (in press); Hooijer & Campbell (under review). For the typically developing students, there were 85 questions, covering demographics, types of bullying (i.e., cyberbullying and traditional bullying), and bullying-related experience (i.e., victimisation, perpetration and victimisation-perpetration). These students completed the questionnaire in class time. For the students with ASD, the survey was shortened to 21 questions in 3 sections. Self-report understanding has been found to be the same in children with ASD as well as those who are typically developing (Bitsika & Sharpley, 2014; Hwang et al., 2017; van Roekel, Scholte, & Didden, 2010). The first section of the questionnaire consisted of demographic questions including gender, grade, and age.

The second section began with a definition of cyberbullying, following the recommendation to improve the validity of the responses made in the Ybarra et al.'s (2012) study:

*Cyberbullying is when one person or a group of people repeatedly try to hurt or embarrass another person, using their computer or mobile phone to use power over them. With cyberbullying the person bullying usually has some advantage over the person targeted and it is done on purpose to hurt them, not like an accident or when friends tease each other.*

The section consisted of eight questions concerning being cyberbullied in the current year; for example: were they a target of cyberbullying, (yes, no); how often they were cyberbullied (*every day, most days, one or two times a week, once a week, less than once a week*); who cyberbullied them (*1 person, 1 group, 2 different people, 2 different groups, 3 or more different people, 3 or more different groups*); if they had cyberbullied someone else. If they indicated that they had not been involved in cyberbullying, they were asked to skip to the next section. This skip pattern was also repeated for the other sections in the questionnaire. The third section began with a definition of face-to-face bullying:

*There are lots of different ways to bully someone. A bully wants to hurt the other person (it's not an accident) and does it repeatedly and unfairly (the bully has some advantage over the victim). Sometimes a group of students will bully another student.*

There were 10 questions on face-to-face bullying; how frequently they were bullied, how they were bullied (physically, verbally, socially) and whether they had face-to-face bullied someone, how often they had face-to-face bullied someone, and who they had face-to-face bullied.

### **Procedure**

Ethical clearance was obtained from Queensland University of Technology (1100000186) and educational systems involved (Queensland, South Australia and Western

Australia). It was also sought from the participating schools for the typically developing students and Autism Queensland for the students with ASD. Autism Queensland is a community-based not-for-profit, peak provider of services to children with ASD and their families in Queensland. Participation was voluntary. Return of the survey indicated consent from both parents and the student with ASD. Typically developing students had written parental consent and their own assent. Schools were approached in three different Australian states by research assistants in the capital cities. For the typically developing students, a research assistant administered the survey in their classrooms during the class time by reading out loud standardised instructions. There were between 15 and 25 students per testing session, and each session took 30–45 minutes. The anonymity of the survey responses was emphasised verbally and in writing to the students. The survey was conducted between August and September (term 3), when students had spent the previous 6–7 months of the school year together in 2010.

As students with ASD attend their local school there are few students per school. To maximise our sample it was decided that more participants would be available if the participants were recruited through the Autism association. For the students with ASD, Autism Queensland posted 614 surveys to the families of their clients with a reply paid envelope in August 2012. Instructions for the parents were to give the sealed envelope containing the survey to their child with ASD, and ask him/her to fill it in privately, and put it into the provided envelope. A total of 104 completed student surveys were returned, a response rate of 17%. Although considered a low response rate the sample size was larger than those of previous research with bullying and students with ASD, adding strength to the findings.

### **Data Analysis**

A matched case control analysis was conducted. Students with ASD ( $n=104$ ) were matched on age and gender from a random selection of 2,116 typically developing students. It is acknowledged that matched case control is not without its problems. In particular, if too many matching variables are utilised, the two matched variables will become increasingly similar with respect to the variable of interest. To minimise this happening, only two matching variables of age and gender were utilised. The reason for matching was to improve statistical efficiency through a balanced design and balanced number of cases across the levels of the variables of interest. This reduces the variance of the variables of interest, which drives efficiency (Kupper, Karon, Kleinbaum, Morgenstern, & Lewis, 1981; Rothman & Greenland, 1998).

Data were analysed using SPSS Version 23. A bivariate analysis was conducted to examine relationships between groups of students with and without an ASD, and bullying variables. This process allowed the testing of hypotheses about the relationships between students with an ASD and bullying variables. In particular, this study used chi-square tests, allowing for examination as to whether the relationship/association between two variables was considered large enough to rule out random chance or error. The phi coefficient was explored in order to provide effect size (Field, 2009).

## Results

### Frequency of Traditional Bullying Victims, Perpetrators and Bully-victims

Significantly more students from the ASD group reported that they had experienced traditional bullying during the current year than students from the TD group (TD 37.5%,  $n = 39$ ; ASD, 64.9%,  $n = 61$ ;  $\chi^2 (1) = 14.82, p < .001, \phi = .27$ ). A significantly higher number of students from the ASD group also reported experiencing traditional bullying more frequently, more than the TD group (TD, 43.9%,  $n = 18$ ; ASD, 70.5%,  $n = 43$ ). A chi square test showed

this difference in frequency between the two groups to be significant ( $\chi^2(1) = 7.21, p < .05, \phi = .27$ ).

Significantly more students in the ASD group (34.6%,  $n = 36$ ) indicated they had been physically bullied than students in the TD group (11.5%,  $n = 12$ ;  $\chi^2(1) = 15.60, p < .001, \phi = .27$ ). This was the case also for students with ASD being verbally bullied (TD, 32.7%,  $n = 34$ ; ASD, 51.9%,  $n = 54$ ;  $\chi^2(1) = 7.88, p < .05, \phi = .2$ ) and socially bullied (TD, 10.6%,  $n = 11$ ; ASD, 36.5%,  $n = 38$ ;  $\chi^2(1) = 19.46, p < .001, \phi = .31$ ).

There were no significant differences between the TD and ASD groups in the frequency with which they traditionally bullied someone else that year. There were no statistically significant differences between the groups of students reporting that they had physically bullied someone (TD, 6.7%,  $n = 7$ ; ASD, 7.7%,  $n = 8$ ), verbally bullied someone (TD, 16.3%,  $n = 17$ ; ASD, 15.4%,  $n = 16$ ), or socially bullied someone (TD, 7.7%,  $n = 8$ ; ASD, 3.8%,  $n = 4$ ). Although more students in the ASD group reported that they were both a victim and a perpetrator of traditional bullying than the TD group, the difference was not statistically significant (TD, 11.5%,  $n = 12$ ; ASD, 19.1%,  $n = 18$ ).

### **Frequency of Cyberbullying Victims, Perpetrators and Bully-victims**

A similar number of students from the typically developing (TD) group and ASD group reported experiencing cyberbullying victimisation (TD, 15%,  $n = 15$ ; ASD, 14%,  $n = 14$ ). Of these cyberbullied students, most reported that the bullying was on an infrequent basis (TD, 90%,  $n = 13$ ; ASD, 85.7%,  $n = 12$ ). There were no significant differences between groups based upon how many people or groups cyberbullied them.

More students from the TD group indicated that they had cyberbullied someone else during the year than students from the ASD group (TD, 12.5%,  $n = 13$ ; ASD, 7.7%,  $n = 8$ ), however a chi square test showed there was no significant difference between the two groups ( $\chi^2(1) = .83, p = .363$ ). The majority of these perpetrators reported that they infrequently

cyberbullied someone else less than once a week (TD, 85.7%,  $n = 12$ ; ASD, 87.5%,  $n = 7$ ). A small percentage of the respondents in each group reported they were both perpetrators and victims of cyberbullying (TD, 6.7%,  $n = 7$ ; ASD, 6.7%,  $n = 7$ ).

### **Frequency of being involved in both cyberbullying and traditional bullying**

Similar rates of students with ASD and typically developing students were victimised by both traditional and cyberbullying (TD, 9.6%,  $n = 10$ ; ASD, 12.8%,  $n = 12$ ). Similar rates were also noted for students in both groups regarding being perpetrators of both (TD, 4.8%;  $n = 5$ ; ASD, 4.3%,  $n = 4$ ).

Insert Table 1 about here

### **Discussion**

Previous research suggests students with ASD are at higher risk of involvement in bullying than typically developing students. Findings from this study go part way to support these findings with significantly more students with ASD reporting they had been victimised by traditional means (58.7%) than typically developing students (37.5%). The findings of this prevalence for traditional bullying victimisation is in line with other research on both typically developing students (Campbell et al., 2012) and also students with ASD (Cappadocia, Craig, & Pepler, 2013).

We found that students with ASD were physically, verbally, and socially bullied more than the typically developing students, with social bullying the most prevalent. This is a similar result to Kloosterman et al. (2013) where students with ASD reported more social bullying than students with special needs or typically developing students, and more physical bullying than typically developing students. This is not surprising given that it has been

shown that students with Asperger syndrome are socially vulnerable, and social vulnerability was found to be strongly and positively correlated with victimisation in students with Asperger syndrome (Sofronoff, Dark, & Stone, 2011).

There were no differences between the groups in traditional bullying perpetration. Kloosterman et al. (2013) and Twyman et al. (2010) also found there was no difference between students with ASD and typically developing students in traditional bullying perpetration. It is interesting to note the same result with a self-report study with 64 adolescents with ASD, where no difference in bullying perpetration was found compared to typically developing students (Rieffe, Camodeca, Pouw, Lange, & Stockman, 2012). These authors also found that the students with ASD, who self-reported bullying others, showed the same pattern of fewer feelings of guilt and more anger, than students who were victimised. It was hypothesised however, that the anger displayed by students with ASD was more related to the frustration and misunderstanding the experience, their emotional dysregulation, rather than the controlled anger expressions for dominance in typically developing adolescents.

This result is different from Rowley et al. (2012) who found parents of students with ASD reported higher levels of bullying perpetration compared with United Kingdom norms. However, the question used to ascertain bullying was from the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire “often fights with other children or bullies them”. This question therefore confounds fighting and bullying. Our results are also different from van Roekel et al.’s (2010) study where teachers reported more bullying perpetration by students with ASD than did peers or the adolescents themselves. However, many of these students with ASD had a co-morbid diagnosis of ADHD, which has been shown to be a risk factor for bullying perpetration (Montes & Halterman, 2007). It is interesting to note also that although students with ASD have been shown to have deficits in empathy (Baron-Cohen & Wheelwright, 2003) there could be a question of social desirability bias in their responses to perpetration.



However, this would be similar for typically developing students in that not many students like to admit they bully others.

Furthermore, there were no differences between the groups in the percentage of traditional bully-victims. This is in contrast to the study by Chen and Schwartz (2012) where the most numerous category was bully-victims with 24% of parents of students with ASD, 36% of students with ASD, and 52% of teachers placing students with ASD in this category. However, there are very few studies which have examined the role of students with ASD as a bully-victim, and none that we could find which compared students with ASD and typically developing students in this bullying role. This is surprising, given that students with ASD have risk factors for both victimisation and perpetration. In addition, there are anecdotal accounts of how students with ASD are victimised and then retaliate. As suggested by Arseneault, Bowes, and Shakoor (2010) some students with ASD may bully others as a response to being bullied. This response of a victim to become a bully-victim may occur when victims have mental health problems, lack the resources to deal with stress, and show poor emotional regulation (Wolke, Copeland, Angold, & Costello, 2013).

The differences found in the present study between typically developing students and student with ASD in being traditionally bullied, was not the case with cyberbullying where low numbers of both groups reported they had been victimised in the past year. This finding that students with ASD were not more victimised by technological means seems surprising as students with ASD are online more than twice that of typically developing students and therefore are more at risk (Kuo et al., 2014). Cappadocia et al.(2013) found that only 1% of students with ASD were cyberbullied, but this was reported by parents who might not have been aware of the phenomenon. Parents also seemed unaware of their children's involvement in cyberbullying in Kowalski and Fedina's (2011) study with parents reporting significantly

less cyberbullying victimisation and perpetration, than their children with ADHD and/or ASD.

In the present study typically developing students self-reported that they perpetrated more cyberbullying than students with ASD. Again this is surprising given that students with ASD spend double the time on computers than typically developing students (Kuo et al., 2014). However, it is unknown how the students with ASD spend their time on computers. It may be they are following their special interests rather than being on social media sites.

### **Limitations**

The researchers acknowledge several limitations of this work. Although the study could be criticised methodologically for sending the two sealed envelopes together, it is unlikely the parents filled in the student questionnaires as there were significant differences between parent and student responses (Hwang et al., 2017). Another limitation of this study was the comparatively small sample size of students with ASD, even if larger than most other bullying studies in this population. An additional limitation could be the ability of these young people with ASD to accurately report on their own bullying behaviour. Even though they demonstrated a good understanding of bullying on paper (Hwang et al., 2017) perhaps in real life they might not be as capable. Taking into account severity of ASD, additionally, may strengthen future research. A potential limitation was the decision to match solely on age and gender. Further research should take into account other confounding factors. Despite these limitations the study contributes to the literature by including a control group of typically developing students using the same questionnaire and examined both victim and perpetrator status across all four forms of bullying.

## **Implications**

It is concerning that students with ASD in mainstream settings, as in this study, are victimised more than typically developing students. With the increase in the diagnosis of autism (Saracino et al., 2010) and inclusive education, prevention and intervention strategies, especially tailored to this population, are urgently required. There are a few evidenced-based programs for bullying reduction such as Friendly Schools (Cross et al., 2012), Kiva (Yang & Salmivalli, 2015), and school-wide positive behavioural programs (Waasdorp, Bradshaw, & Leaf, 2012) (see Rigby & Griffiths, in press for a comprehensive review), but none as yet specifically targeting students with ASD. Thus future research should investigate programs that are especially written for students ASD to assist them to avoid victimisation and what to do should it happen.

## **Conclusion**

Bullying is prevalent worldwide. Research suggests particular groups are more at risk of being victims of all forms of bullying, including those with ASD. Through surveying 104 students with ASD on their bullying experiences, we found that students with ASD, in line with most of the literature, reported more traditional victimisation than their typically developing peers, with social victimisation being the most common form of bullying victimisation. There was no difference however, in cyberbullying victimisation for the two groups. Contrary to some research, we found no differences in traditional bullying perpetration, whereas typically developing students reported more cyberbullying perpetration. This work has practical implications for schools and policy makers. Schools and policy makers need to understand that students with ASD are more victimised than typically developing students but they do not bully others more because of lack of social skills. It is incumbent on schools therefore, not to victimise students with ASD by insisting they have

reduced school hours or miss break times to attend social skills lessons. It is imperative that prevention and intervention strategies, especially tailored to this population are developed and implemented in schools to reduce bullying of students with ASD which should mainly target those perpetrating the bullying and the bystanders who do nothing.

## References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *DSM-IV-TR: Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, text revision*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Arseneault, L., Bowes, L., & Shakoor, S. (2010). Bullying victimization in youths and mental health problems: Much ado about nothing? *Psychological Medicine*, 40, 717-729.
- Baron-Cohen, S., & Wheelwright, S. (2003). The Friendship Questionnaire: An investigation of adults with Asperger syndrome or high-functioning autism, and normal sex differences. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 33, 509-517.
- Bauminger, N., & Karasi, C. (2000). Loneliness and friendship in high-functioning children with autism. *Child Development*, 71, 447-456.
- Beaty, L. A., & Alexeyev, E. B. (2008). The problem of school bullies: What research tells us? *Adolescence*, 43(169), 1-11.
- Bitsika, V., & Sharpley, C. F. (2014). Understanding, experiences, and reactions to bullying experiences in boys with an autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 26, 747-761. doi: 10.1007/s10882-014-9393-1
- Bowes, L., Maughan, B., Ball, H., Shakoor, S., Ouellet-Morin, I., Caspi, A. ... & Arseneault, L. (2013). Chronic bullying victimization across school transitions: The role of genetic and environmental influences. *Development and Psychopathology*, 25, 333-346.
- Campbell, M. A., & Morgan, N. (in press). Adult's perceptions of bullying in early childhood. In P. T. Slee, G. Skrzypiec, & C. Cefai (Eds.), *Child and adolescent well-being and violence prevention in schools*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Campbell, M. A., Slee, P.T., Spears, B., Butler, D., & Kift, S. (2013). Do cyberbullies suffer too? Cyberbullies' perceptions of the harm they cause to others and to their own mental health. *School Psychology International*, 34, 613-629.
- Campbell, M. A., Spears, B., Slee, P., Butler D., & Kift, S. (2012). Victims' perceptions of traditional and cyberbullying, and the psychosocial correlates of their victimisation.

*Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties*, 17, 389-401.

doi:10.1080/13632752.2012.704316

Campbell, M. A., Spears, B., Slee, P., Kift, S., & Butler, D. (2011, April). The prevalence of cyberbullying in Australia. In *5th World conference and IV Iberoamerican congress on violence in school. Investigations, interventions, evaluations and public policies*.

Cappadocia, M.C., Craig, W.M., & Pepler, D. (2013). Cyberbullying: Prevalence, stability, and risk factors during adolescence. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology*, 28, 171-192. doi: 10: 1177/0829573513491212

Cappadocia, M. C., Weiss, J. A., & Pepler, D. (2012). Bullying experiences among children and youth with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42, 266-277. doi 10.1007/s10803-011-1241-x

Carter, S. (2009). Bullying of students with Asperger syndrome. *Issues in Comprehensive Pediatric Nursing*, 32, 145-154. doi: 10.1080/01460860903062782

Chen, P. Y., & Schwartz, I. S. (2012). Bullying and victimization experiences of students with autism spectrum disorders in elementary schools. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 27, 200-212. doi: 10.1177/1088357612459556

Cook-Cottone, C., Serwacki, M., Guyker, W., Sodano, S., Nickerson, A., Keddie-Olka, E., & Anderson, L. (2016). The role of anxiety on the experience of peer victimization and eating disorder risk. *School Mental Health*, 8, 354-367.

Cross, D., Waters, S., Pearce, N., Shaw, T., Hall, M, Erceg, E., .... Hamilton, G. (2012). The Friendly Schools Friendly Families programme: Three-year bullying behaviour outcomes in primary school children. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 53, 394-406. doi:10.1016/j.ijer.2012.05.004

Cross, D., Shaw, T., Hearn, L., Epstein, M., Monks, H., Lester, & Thomas, L. (2009). *Australian Covert Bullying Prevalence Study (ACBPS)*. Perth, Child Health Promotion Research Centre, Edith Cowan University.

Didden, R., Scholte, R., Korzilius, H., DeMoor, J., Vermeulen, A.,....Lancioni, G. (2009). Cyberbullying among students with intellectual and developmental disability in special education settings. *Developmental Neurorehabilitation*, 12, 146-15. doi: 10.1080/17518420902971356

Duarte, C., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2017). Can self-reassurance buffer against the impact of bullying? Effects on body shame and disordered eating in adolescence. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 26, 998-1006. doi: 10.1007/s10826-016-0624-1

Due, P., Holstein, B.E., Lynch, J., Diderichsen, F., Gabhain, S., Schedit, P., ... The Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children Bullying Working Group (2005). Bullying and symptoms among school-aged children: International comparative cross sectional study in 28 countries. *European Journal of Public Health*, 15, 128-132. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/cki105

Espelage, D. L., Van Ryzin, M. J., & Holt, M. K. (2017). Trajectories of bully perpetration across early adolescence: Static risk factors, dynamic covariates, and longitudinal outcomes. *Psychology of Violence*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1037/vio0000095

Field, A. (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS*. London, UK: Sage.

Frith, U., & Hill, E. (2004). *Autism: Mind and brain*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Gazelle, H., & Ladd, G.W. (2003). Anxious solitude and peer exclusion: A diathesis-stress model of internalizing trajectories in childhood. *Child Development*, 74, 257-278.

Gladden, R.M., Vivolo-Kantor, A.M., Hamburger, M.E., & Lumpkin, C.D. (2014). *Bullying surveillance among youths: Uniform definitions for public health and recommended data elements*, Version 1.0. Atlanta, GA; National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and U.S. Department of Education.

Haq, I., & Le Couteur, A. (2004). Autism spectrum disorder. *Medicine*, 32(8), 61-63. doi: 10.1383/medc.32.8.61.43165

- Hebron, J., & Humphrey, N. (2014). Exposure to bullying among students with autism spectrum conditions: A multi-informant analysis of risk and protective factors. *Autism, 18*, 618-630. doi: 10.1177/1362361313495965
- Hemphill, S. A., Kotevski, A., Herrenkohl, T., Bond, L., Kim, M. J., Toumbourou, J., & Catalano, R. (2011). Longitudinal consequences of adolescent bullying perpetration and victimisation: A study of students in Victoria, Australia. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health, 21*, 107–116. doi: 10.1002/cbm.802 .
- Hemphill, S.A., Tollit, M., & Koteski, A. (2012). Rates of bullying perpetration and victimisation: A longitudinal study of secondary school students in Victoria, Australia. *Pastoral Care in Education, 30*(2), 99-112. doi: 10.1080/02643944.2012.679953
- Hooijer, J., & Campbell, M. A. (under review). Teachers' and parents' understanding of traditional and cyberbullying.
- Horowitz, J. A., Vessey, J. A., Carlson, K. L., Braddley, J. F., Montoya, C., McCullough, B., & David, J. (2004). Teasing and bullying experiences of middle school students. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association, 10*, 165-172. doi: 10.1177/1078390304267862
- Houbre B., Tarquinio, C., Thuillier, I., & Hergott, E. (2006). Bullying among students and its consequences on health. *European Journal of Psychology of Education, 21*, 183-208. doi: org/10.1007/BF03173576
- Howlin, P. (2004). *Autism and Asperger syndrome: Preparing for adulthood*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Humphrey, N., & Symes, W. (2011). Peer interaction patterns among adolescents with autistic spectrum disorders (ASDs) in mainstream school settings. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice, 15*, 397- 419. doi: 10.1177/1362361310387804



- Hwang, Y-S., Dillon-Wallace, J., Saggars, B., Campbell, M.A., Ashburner, J., Carrington, S., & Hand, K. (under review). *Students with autism spectrum disorders' understanding of face-to-face and cyberbullying.*
- Isolan, L., Salum, G. A., Osowski, A. T., Zottis, G. H., & Manfro, G. G. (2013). Victims and bully-victims but not bullies are groups associated with anxiety symptomatology among Brazilian children and adolescents. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 22, 641-648. doi:10.1007/s00787-013-0412-z
- Kelley, E., Fein, D., & Naigles, L. (2006). Residual language deficits in optimal outcome children with a history of autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 36, 807-828. doi:10.1007/s10803-006-0111-4
- Kloosterman, P. H., Kelley, E. A., Craig, W. M., Parker, J., & Javier, C. (2013). Types and experiences of bullying in adolescents with an autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 7, 824-832. doi:10.1016/j.rasd.2013.02.013
- Klomek, A. B., Marrocco, F., Kleinman, M., Schonfeld, I. S., & Gould, M. S. (2007). Bullying, depression, and suicidality in adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 46, 40-49.
- Kowalski, R. M., & Fedina, C. (2011). Cyber bullying in ADHD and Asperger Syndrome populations. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 5, 1201-1208. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2011.01.007
- Kuo, M. H., Orsmond, G., Coster, W. J., & Cohn, E. S. (2014). Media use among adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*, 18, 914-923. doi: 10.1177/1362361313497832
- Kupper, L. L., Karon, J. M., Kleinbaum, D. G., Morgenstern, H., & Lewis, D. K. (1981). Matching in epidemiologic studies: Validity and efficiency considerations. *Biometrics*, 37, 271-291.
- Little, L. (2002). Middle-class mothers' perceptions of peer and sibling victimization among children with Asperger's syndrome and nonverbal learning disorders. *Issues in Comprehensive Pediatric Nursing*, 25(1), 43-57.

- Loveland, K. A., Pearson, D. A., Tunali-Kotoski, B., Ortegon, J., & Cullen-Gibbs, M. (2001). Judgment of social appropriateness by children and adolescents with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 31, 367-376.
- Matson, J. L., & Nebel-Schwalm, M. (2007). Assessing challenging behaviours in children with autism spectrum disorders: A review. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 28, 567-579. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.200608.001
- Molcho, M., Craig, W., Due, P., Pickett, W., Harel-Fisch, Y., Overpeck, M., & HBSC bullying writing group (2009). Cross-national time trends in bullying behaviour 1994-2006: Findings from Europe and North America. *International Journal of Public Health*, 54, S225-S234. doi: 10.1007/s00038-009-5414-8
- Montes, G., & Halterman, J.S. (2007). Bullying among children with autism and the influence of comorbidity with ADHD: A population-based study. *Ambulatory Pediatrics: The Official Journal of the Ambulatory Pediatric Association*, 7, 253-257. doi:10.1016/j.ambp.2007.02.003
- Olweus, D. (1993). *Bullying at school: What we know and what we can do*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Owens, L., Skrzypiec, G., & Wadham, B. (2011). Thinking patterns, anti-social behaviour and bullying: Implications for practice. In R. Shute, P. Slee, R. Murray-Harvey, & K. Dix (Eds.), *Mental health and wellbeing: Educational perspectives* (pp. 117-128). Adelaide, Australia: Shannon Research Press.
- Perren, S., & Hornung, R. (2005). Bullying and delinquency in adolescence: Victims' and perpetrators family and peer relations. *Swiss Journal of Psychology*, 64(1), 51-64. doi:10.1024/1421-0185.64.1.51
- Polanin, J. R., Espelage, D. L., & Pigott, T. D. (2012). A meta-analysis of school-based bullying prevention programs' effects on bystander intervention behavior. *School Psychology Review*, 41, 47-65.
- Rieffe, C., Camodeca, M., Pouw, L.B., Lange, A.M., & Stockman, L. (2012). Don't anger me! Bullying, victimization, and emotion dysregulation in young adolescents with

ASD. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 9, 351-370. doi: 10.1080/17405629.2012.680302

Rigby, K., & Griffiths, C. (in press). Interventions addressing traditional school-based bullying. In M. A. Campbell & S. Bauman (Eds.), *Reducing cyberbullying in schools: Evidence-based best practices*. New York: Elsevier.

Rose, C. A., & Espelage, D. L. (2012). Risk and protective factors associated with the bullying involvement of students with emotional and behavioural disorders. *Behavioral Disorders*, 37, 133-148.

Rose, C. A. Espelage, D., & Monda-Amaya, L. E. (2009). Bullying and victimisation rates among students in general and special education: A comparative analysis, *Educational Psychology: An International Journal of Experimental Educational Psychology*, 29, 761-776. doi: 10.1080/01443410903254864

Rosenberg, R. E., Kaufmann, W. E., Law, J. K., & Law, P. A. (2011). Parent report of community psychiatric comorbid diagnoses in autism spectrum disorders. *Autism and Research Treatment*, Article 405849, 10 pages.

Rothman, J. K., & Greenland, S. (1998). *Modern epidemiology* (2nd ed.). Montreal, Canada: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Rowley, E., Chandler, S., Baird, G., Simonoff, E., Pickles, A., Loucas, T., & Charman, T. (2012). The experience of friendship, victimization and bullying in children with an autism spectrum disorder: Associations with child characteristics and school placement. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 6, 1126-1134. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2012.03.004

Saracino, J., Nosewothy, J., Steiman, M., Reisinger, L., & Fomborne, E. (2010). Diagnostic and assessment issues in autism surveillance and prevalence. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 22, 317-330.

Sofronoff, K., Dark, E., & Stone, V. (2011). Social vulnerability and bullying in children with Asperger syndrome. *Autism*, 15, 355-372. doi: 10.1177/1362361310365070

- Spears, B., Keeley, M., Bates, S., & Katz, I. (2014). *Research on youth exposure to, and management of, cyberbullying incidents in Australia: Part A literature review on the estimated prevalence of cyberbullying involving Australian minors (SPRC Report 9/2014)*. Sydney, Australia: Social Policy Research Centre, UNSW Australia.
- Sreckovic, M. A., Brunsting, N. C., & Able, H. (2014). Victimization of student with autism spectrum disorder: A review of prevalence and risk factors. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 8, 1155-1172. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2014.06.004
- Stassen Berger, K. (2007). Update on bullying at school: Science forgotten? *Developmental Review*, 2(1), 90-126. doi: 10.1016/j.dr.2006.08.002
- Storch, E. A., Larson, M. J., Ehrenreich-May, J., Arnold, E. B., Jones, A. M., Renno, P., ... Wood, J. J. (2012). Peer victimization in youth with autism spectrum disorders and co-occurring anxiety: Relations with psychopathology and loneliness. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 24, 575-590. doi: 10.1007/s10882-012-9290-4
- Swearer, S. M. (2013). Treating bullying behaviors among youth. In G. Koocher, J. Norcross, & B. Greene (Eds.), *Psychologists desk reference* (pp. 391-394). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Swearer, S. M., Song, S. Y., Cary, P. T., Eagle, J. W., & Mickelson, W. T. (2001). Psychosocial correlates in bullying and victimization: The relationship between depression, anxiety, and bully/victim status. *Journal of Emotional Abuse*, 2(2-3), 95-121.
- Taylor, B., Jick, H., & MacLaughlin, D. (2013). Prevalence and incidence rates of autism in the UK: Time trend from 2004-2010 in children aged 8 years. *British Medical Journal Open*, 3(10): e003219. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003219
- Twyman, K. A., Saylor, C. F., Saia, D., Macias, M. M., Taylor, L. A., & Spratt, E. (2010). Bullying and ostracism experiences in children with special health care needs. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 31(1), 1-8.

- van Roekel, E., Scholte, R.H.J., & Didden, R. (2010). Bullying among adolescents with autism spectrum disorders: Prevalence and perception. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40(1), 63-73. doi 10.1007/s10803-009-0832-2
- Waasdorp, J. E., Bradshaw, C. P., & Leaf, P. J. (2012). The impact of schoolwide positive behavioural interventions and supports on bullying and peer rejection: A randomised controlled effectiveness trial. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, 166, 149-156.
- Wainscot, J. J., Naylor, P., Sutcliffe, D. T., & Williams, J. V. (2008). Relationship with peers and use of the school environment of mainstream secondary school pupils with Asperger Syndrome (high functioning autism): A case control study. *International Journal of Psychology and Psychological Therapy*, 8(1), 25-38.
- Wang, J., Nansel, T. R., & Iannotti, R. J. (2011). Cyber and traditional bullying: Differential association with depression. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 48, 415-417.
- Wolke, D., Copeland, W.E., Angold, A., & Costello, E.J. (2013). Impact of bullying in childhood on adult health, wealth, crime, and social outcomes. *Psychological Science*, 24, 1958-1970. doi: 10.1177/0956797613481608
- Yang, A., & Salmivalli, C. (2015). Effectiveness of the KiVa antibullying programme on bully-victims, bullies and victims. *Educational Research*, 57(1), 80-90. doi: 10.1080/00131881.2014.983724
- Ybarra, M. L., Boyd, D., Korchmaros, J. D., & Oppenheim, J. (2012). Defining and measuring cyberbullying within the larger context of bullying victimization. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 51(1), 53-58. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2011.12.031
- Zablotsky, B., Bradshaw, C. P., Anderson, C., & Law, P. A. (2013). The association between bullying and the psychological functioning of children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics*, 34(1), 1-8. doi: 10.1007/s10803-012-1693-7
- Zeedyk, S. M., Rodriguez, G., Tipton, L. A., Baker, B. L., & Blacher, J. (2014). Bullying of youth with autism spectrum disorder, intellectual disability, or typical development:

Victim and parent perspectives. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 8, 1173-1183. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2014.06.001

Table 1 *Comparison of typically developing students and students with ASD on victimisation and perpetration of bullying*

	Typically Developing Students	Students with ASD
Cyberbullying victim	15 (15%)	14 (14.9%)
Cyberbullying perpetrator	13 (12.5%)	8 (8.5%)
Traditional bullying victim	39 (37.5%)	61(58.7%)
Student was physically bullied	12 (11.5%)	36 (34.6%)
Student was verbally bullied	34 (32.7%)	54 (51.9%)
Student was socially bullied	11 (10.6%)	38 (36.5%)
Student traditionally bullied others	17 (16.3%)	18 (17.3%)
Student physically bullied others	7 (6.7%)	8 (7.7%)
Student verbally bullied others	17 (16.3%)	16 (15.4%)
Student socially bullied others	8 (7.7%)	4 (3.8%)
Student was both a traditional victim and a bully	12 (11.5%)	18 (17.3%)

Questionnaire for students with ASD

This is your chance to give us feedback about what things are like for you, we don't want to know your name,  
so please answer honestly

**A Reminder that this is Cyberbullying.**

Cyberbullying is when one person or a group of people **repeatedly** try to hurt or embarrass another person, **using their computer or mobile phone**, to use power over them. With cyberbullying, the person bullying usually has some advantage over the person targeted, and it is done on purpose to hurt them, not like an accident or when friends tease each other.

When you complete the following questions think about YOUR EXPERIENCES since January this year.

CYBERBULLYING QUESTIONS	
The next questions ask about WHAT MIGHT HAVE HAPPENED TO YOU.	
1 Have you been cyberbullied this year? (Please fill in one circle)	YES    NO
2 How often have you been cyberbullied this	everyday.....



<p>year?</p> <p><i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p>most days.....`</p> <p>one or two times a week.....`</p> <p>once a week.....`</p> <p>less than once a week.....`</p>
<p><b>3</b> How many different people or different groups have cyberbullied you this year?</p> <p><i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p>1 person....`</p> <p>1 group.....`</p> <p>2 different people.....`</p> <p>2 different groups.....`</p> <p>3 or more different people.....`</p> <p>3 or more different groups.....`</p>

When you complete the following questions think about YOUR EXPERIENCES since January this year.

## CYBERBULLYING QUESTIONS

**The next questions ask about what YOU MIGHT HAVE DONE TO OTHERS.**

<p><b>4</b> Have you cyberbullied someone this year?</p> <p><i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p>YES    NO</p>
<p><b>5</b> How often have you cyberbullied someone this year?</p> <p><i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p>everyday.....</p> <p>most days.....</p> <p>one or two times a week.....</p> <p>once a week.....</p> <p>less than once a week.....</p>
<p><b>6</b> How many different people or different groups have you cyberbullied this year?</p> <p><i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p>1 person....</p> <p>1 group.....</p> <p>2 different people....</p> <p>2 different groups.....</p>

	3 or more different people.....`  3 or more different groups.....`
--	--

When you complete the following questions think about YOUR EXPERIENCES since January this year.

**A Reminder that this is Face-to-face bullying**

There are lots of different ways to bully someone. A bully wants to hurt the other person (it's not an accident), and does it repeatedly and unfairly (the bully has some advantage over the victim). Sometimes a group of people will bully another person.

***Face-to-face bullying takes many forms:***

Physical bullying -when someone hits, shoves, kicks, spits, or beats up on others; when someone

damages or steals another student's property

Verbal bullying - name calling, mocking, hurtful teasing, humiliating or threatening someone;

making

people do things they don't want to do

Social bullying - excluding others from the group; gossiping or spreading rumours about others; setting others up to look foolish; making sure others don't associate with the person.

## FACE-TO-FACE BULLYING QUESTIONS

The next questions ask about WHAT MIGHT HAVE HAPPENED TO YOU.

<p><b>1</b> Have you been face-to-face bullied this year?</p> <p><i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p>YES      NO</p>
<p><b>2</b> How often have you been face-to-face bullied this year?</p> <p><i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p>everyday.....</p> <p>most days.....</p> <p>one or two times a week.....</p> <p>once a week.....</p> <p>less than once a week.....</p>
<p>How many different people or different</p>	<p>1 person....</p>

<p><b>3</b> groups have face-to-face bullied you since January this year?</p> <p><i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p>1 group.....`</p> <p>2 different people.....`</p> <p>2 different groups.....`</p> <p>3 or more different people.....`</p> <p>3 or more different groups.....`</p>
<p><b>4</b> When you were face-to-face bullied, how were you bullied? Was it...</p> <p><i>(Fill in a circle for ALL THAT APPLY. For this question you may fill in more than one circle)</i></p>	<p>physically.....`</p> <p>verbally.....`</p> <p>socially.....`</p>
<p align="center"><b>FACE-TO-FACE BULLYING QUESTIONS</b></p>	
<p align="center"><b>The next questions ask about what YOU MIGHT HAVE DONE TO OTHERS.</b></p>	
<p><b>5</b> Have you face-to-face bullied someone this year?</p> <p><i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p align="center">YES` NO`</p>

<p><b>6</b> How often have you face-to-face bullied someone this year? <i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p>everyday.....`</p> <p>most days.....`</p> <p>one or two times a week.....`</p> <p>once a week.....`</p> <p>less than once a week.....`</p>
<p><b>7</b> How many different people or different groups have you cyberbullied this year? <i>(Please fill in one circle)</i></p>	<p>1 person....`</p> <p>1 group.....`</p> <p>2 different people.....`</p> <p>2 different groups.....`</p> <p>3 or more different people.....`</p> <p>3 or more different groups.....`</p>
<p><b>8</b> When you bullied someone face-to-face, how did you bully? Was it... <i>(Fill in a circle for ALL THAT APPLY. For this question you may fill in more than one circle)</i></p>	<p>physically.....`</p> <p>verbally.....`</p>

	socially.....`
--	----------------

😊 Thank you for completing the questionnaire!!! 😊